



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,055	12/22/2004	Wilhelmus Verhaegh	NL 020616	6800

24737 7590 11/17/2008
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

CARTER III, ROBERT E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2629

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/519,055	Applicant(s) VERHAEGH, WILHELMUS	
	Examiner ROBERT E. CARTER III	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/04/2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-6, 10-15, 18 and 19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-6, 10-15, 18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 08/04/2008 has been entered and considered by examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
1. Claims 3-6, 10-12, 15, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatakeyama et al. in view of Kanevsky et al. (US Patent # 7,042,442)

As for claim 10, Hatakeyama et al. (Figs. 5, 20) discloses:

A data processing device enabling a user to input characters (Paragraph [0007]), the device comprising:

a touch-sensitive member (240) (Paragraph [0008]) arranged to function as a virtual

keyboard (430) (Paragraph [0013]),
said member including touch sensors (each lattice point on the grid) for detecting a
plurality of touched zones (each finger touching the keyboard is one zone. Fig. 6
illustrates a single touched zone, Fig. 5 illustrates 8 touched zones) on said member
(Paragraph [00081], the touch sensors sensing a force of at least one finger on the
touch-sensitive member (Paragraph [0008]);
a stroke recognition means which recognizes a key stroke by analyzing
a relative position of a zone touched by a finger causing a higher force (second
pressure range) on the touch-sensitive member relative to positions of zones
previously *touched by other fingers with a lower force (first pressure range), such that*
the key stroke is determined by the relative position of the higher force touched zone
*relative to the lower force **previously** touched zones (Paragraph [0008], The location of*
the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the
keyboard with a force in the first pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force
in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the
position if that location with respect to the position of the fingers when first placed on the
keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).

Hatakeyama et al. does not teach analyzing a position based on at least one other zone concurrently touched with a lower force.

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. virtual keyboards) Kanevsky et al. discloses a virtual keyboard which continually monitors the hand and finger positions and moves the

keyboard to maintain the relationship between certain keys and certain fingers (Col. 6, lines 17-44).

This idea of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers can be easily combined with Hatakeyama et al. by performing the steps to allocate the reference keys (Paragraph [0082]) repeatedly to adjust the location of the reference keys, and hence the entire keyboard, to match the location of the user's hands.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers disclosed in Kanevsky et al. in the touch-sensitive keyboard of Hatakeyama et al. to prevent the user from losing their basic hand positions (Kanevsky et al., Col. 6, lines 24-30).

As such, combining Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches:
a stroke recognition means which recognizes a key stroke by analyzing a relative position of a zone touched by a finger causing a higher force (second pressure range) on the touch-sensitive member relative to positions of zones concurrently touched by other fingers with a lower force (first pressure range), such that the key stroke is determined by the relative position of the higher force touched zone relative to the lower force concurrently touched zones (Paragraph [0008], The location of the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range. Modifying Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches repeatedly allocating the reference keys and determining the

location of the other keys from the reference keys, including during the time period when another key was pressed with a force in the second pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the position of that location with respect to the position of the fingers concurrently placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).

As for claim 11, Hatakeyama et al. discloses:

A data processing device for enabling a user to input characters, the device comprising: a touch-sensitive member (240) arranged to function as a virtual keyboard (430) (Paragraphs [0008], [0013]),

said member including sensors (each lattice point on the grid) for detecting touched zones (each finger touching the keyboard is one zone. Fig. 6 illustrates a single touched zone, Fig. 5 illustrates 8 touched zones) on said member and for sensing a force of at least one finger on the touch-sensitive member (Paragraph [0008]), the sensors being configured to identify a finger causing a force on the touch-sensitive member zone that is higher than a force from other fingers when more than one finger touches said member (Paragraph [0008]);

a key allocation means for allocating at least two reference keys (F key to index finger of left hand, J Key to index finger of right hand) of the virtual keyboard to respective zones on said member in response to said detection of touched zones (Paragraph [0082]); and

a key stroke recognition means configured to recognize a key stroke by analyzing a relative position of the zone touched with a higher force (second pressure range) with

*respect to a position of at least one other zone **previously** touched with a lower force* (first pressure range), (Paragraph [0008], The location of the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the position if that location with respect to the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).

Hatakeyama et al. does not teach analyzing a position based on at least one other zone concurrently touched with a lower force.

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. virtual keyboards) Kanevsky et al. discloses a virtual keyboard which continually monitors the hand and finger positions and moves the keyboard to maintain the relationship between certain keys and certain fingers (Col. 6, lines 17-44).

This idea of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers can be easily combined with Hatakeyama et al. by performing the steps to allocate the reference keys (Paragraph [0082]) repeatedly to adjust the location of the reference keys, and hence the entire keyboard, to match the location of the user's hands.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers disclosed in Kanevsky et al. in the touch-sensitive

keyboard of Hatakeyama et al. to prevent the user from losing their basic hand positions (Kanevsky et al., Col. 6, lines 24-30).

As such, combining Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches:

a key stroke recognition means configured to recognize a key stroke by analyzing a relative position of the zone touched with the higher force (second pressure range) with respect to a position of at least one other zone concurrently touched with a lower force (first pressure range), (Paragraph [0008], The location of the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range. Modifying Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches repeatedly allocating the reference keys and determining the location of the other keys from the reference keys, including during the time period when another key was pressed with a force in the second pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the position of that location with respect to the position of the fingers concurrently placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).

As for claim 3, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

wherein the at least one touch sensor is further arranged to determine a parameter of a respective one of the touched zones, said key allocation means being arranged to allocate the reference keys having a size and/or form on said touch-sensitive member depending on said parameter of the respective detected zone (Paragraphs [0085]-[0088]).

As for claim 4, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

wherein said key allocation means is arranged to allocate said other keys having a size and orientation on said touch-sensitive member depending on relative locations of the detected touch sensitive zones (Paragraphs [0085]-[0088]).

As for claim 5, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

wherein said key allocation means is arranged to allocate four or eight reference keys (Fig. 5 shows 8 fingers being detected corresponding to the eight keys of the home position) upon detecting four fingers of the user's left hand and/or four fingers of the user's right hand touching the touch-sensitive member (Paragraphs [0080]-[0081]).

As for claim 6, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

wherein said virtual keyboard has a QWERTY-type layout (Paragraph [0080]).

As for claim 12, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

wherein said at least one zone with the lower force corresponds to at least one of said reference keys (Paragraph [0081], the position of any of the four fingers of each hand as initially placed on the keyboard with a lower force identifies a reference key touched with a lower force).

As for claim 15, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

*wherein said touch-sensitive member further comprises:
a display means arranged to display a representation of at least one reference key and/or other key of the virtual keyboard (Paragraph [0081]).*

As for claim 18, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

*A method enabling a user to input characters, the method comprising:
a step of detecting touched zones (each finger touching the keyboard is one zone. Fig.*

6 illustrates a single touched zone, Fig. 5 illustrates 8 touched zones) (Paragraph [0008]) *on a touch-sensitive member (240) configured to function as a virtual keyboard (430), (Paragraph [0008], Paragraph [0013]), and*
a step of allocating at least two reference keys (F key to index finger of left hand, J Key to index finger of right hand) of the virtual keyboard to respective zones on said member in response to said detection of touched zones (Paragraphs [0082]-[0083]), and,
a step of sensing a force of at least one finger on a touched zone of the touch-sensitive member (Paragraph [0008]),
a step of identifying a finger causing a force (second pressure range) on the touched zone of the touch-sensitive member higher than a force (first pressure range) caused by other fingers on the touched zone when more than one finger touches said member (Paragraph [0008]),
and
*a step of recognizing a key stroke by analyzing a relative position of the zone touched with the higher force with respect to a position of at least one other zone **previously** touched with a lower force, (Paragraph [0008], The location of the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the position if that location with respect to the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).*

Hatakeyama et al. does not teach analyzing a position based on at least one other zone concurrently touched with a lower force.

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. virtual keyboards) Kanevsky et al. discloses a virtual keyboard which continually monitors the hand and finger positions and moves the keyboard to maintain the relationship between certain keys and certain fingers (Col. 6, lines 17-44).

This idea of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers can be easily combined with Hatakeyama et al. by performing the steps to allocate the reference keys (Paragraph [0082]) repeatedly to adjust the location of the reference keys, and hence the entire keyboard, to match the location of the user's hands.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of maintaining of relationship between particular keys and particular fingers disclosed in Kanevsky et al. in the touch-sensitive keyboard of Hatakeyama et al. to prevent the user from losing their basic hand positions (Kanevsky et al., Col. 6, lines 24-30).

As such, combining Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches:

a step of recognizing a key stroke by analyzing a relative position of the zone touched with the higher force (second pressure range) with respect to a position of at least one other zone concurrently touched with a lower force (first pressure range), (Paragraph [0008], The location of the keys is determined based on the position of the fingers when first placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range.

Modifying Hatakeyama et al. with Kanevsky et al. teaches repeatedly allocating the reference keys and determining the location of the other keys from the reference keys, including during the time period when another key was pressed with a force in the second pressure range. Therefore, when a location with a force in the second pressure range is detected, a key stroke is recognized by analyzing the position of that location with respect to the position of the fingers concurrently placed on the keyboard with a force in the first pressure range).

As for claim 19, Hatakeyama et al. teaches:

A computer-readable medium with instructions that are executed on a program computer to perform the method as defined in claim 10 (Paragraph [0001]).

2. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatakeyama et al. in view of Kanevsky et al. as applied to claims 11-12, 15, and 18 above, and further in view of Gantenbein (IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Vol. 36, No. 11, November 1993 "Soft Adaptive Follow-Finger Keyboard for Touch-Screen Pads").

As for claim 13, Hatakeyama et al. as modified by Kanevsky et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 11.

However, Hatakeyama et al. as modified by Kanevsky et al. does not teach repeatedly allocating at least one of the reference keys.

In the same field of endeavor (i.e. virtual keyboards) Gantenbein discloses:

further comprising:

a key correction means for correcting a location of at least one of the reference keys by repeatedly allocating at least one of the reference keys (Page 5, lines 1-3, Page 6, lines 2-11, Page 7, lines 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the key correction means of Gantenbein in the touch-sensitive keyboard of Hatakeyama et al. as modified by Kanevsky et al. to increase the hit success ratio (Gantenbein, Page 7, lines 27-30).

As for claim 14, Gantenbein teaches:

wherein said key correction means functions upon detecting a change of position of at least one of said other fingers (Page 6, lines 2-8).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/04/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner errantly indicated claims 11-15 and 18 allowable on the Office Action Summary form, but the body of the previous Office Action contained full rejections of claims 11-15 and 18. Nowhere in the body of the previous office action did the examiner indicate claims 11-15 and 18 allowable.

Furthermore Applicant's amendment to the claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action, therefore this action is made final.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT E. CARTER III whose telephone number is (571)270-3006. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM - 5:30PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sumati Lefkowitz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/R.E.C./